



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/024,215	12/21/2001	Ryoma Oami	Q67860	9094

7590 12/08/2005

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037-3213

EXAMINER

VO, TUNG T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2613

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/024,215	Applicant(s) OAMI, RYOMA	
	Examiner Tung Vo	Art Unit 2613	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 November 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20,23 and 24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20,23 and 24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/28/2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-20 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryoo (US 5,990,957) in view of Sun (US 5,790,196).

Re claims 1-2, 5-6, and 19-20, Ryoo discloses a moving picture encoding system (fig. 1) for encoding moving picture sequences with respect to each object, comprising:

a coding means (15 and 11 of fig. 1) for encoding object picture data consisting of time series sequences of video object planes (VOPs) (11 of fig. 1), each of which is a picture image of the object at a point of time, and shape information data indicating the shape of the object in each VOP while conducting bit rate control so that the number of generated bits for each VOP meets a

Art Unit: 2613

target bit number (15 of fig. 1), and outputting coding information including a quantization parameter used in encoding and the generated bit number along with obtained bit streams (col. 3, line 55-col. 4, line 32), and outputting the result as area data (col. 5, line M-col. 5, line 43);

a predictive area calculating parameter extracting means (24 and 25 of fig. 2) for obtaining a function that indicates temporal variations in the area of the object based on the history of the area data (25 of fig. 2), and outputting a parameter specifying the function or a predictive value of the area obtained by the function (24 of fig. 2) as a predictive area calculating parameter (col. 6, line 59-col. 9, line 5);

a bit number model parameter calculating means (26, 27 and 29 of fig. 2) for calculating a parameter used in modeling the generated bit number per unit area of the object based on the coding information (col. 4, lines 59-67), the generated bit number and the area data, and outputting the result as a bit number model parameter (27 of fig. 2, see col. 1, lines 1-3, and 8-14);

a predictive bit number calculating parameter extracting means (28 of fig. 2) for obtaining a function that indicates temporal variations in the bit number model parameter based on the history of the bit number model parameter, and outputting a parameter specifying the function or a predictive value of the bit number model parameter obtained by the function as a predictive bit number calculating parameter (col. 9, lines 27-67); and

a target bit number calculating means (29-31 of fig. 2) which performs a series of processes calculating a target bit number for the next VOP to be encoded (col. 10, lines 1-40), and outputting the target bit number;

sequentially for each of VOPs in the certain period of time (29 and 32 of fig. 2);

a storing means (14 of fig. 1, note a VOP memory temporarily stores VOPs) for temporarily storing object picture data consisting of time series sequences of video object planes (VOPs), each of which is a picture image of the object at a point of time, and shape information data indicating the shape of the object in each VOP; wherein the total number of allocatable bits for multiple un-coded VOPs within multiple frames in a certain period of time (Col 11, line 45- col. 12, line 10; see TABLE 2).

It is noted that Ryoo does not particularly disclose an area calculating means for calculating the area of the object in each VOP based on the shape information data; and for calculating an un-coded VOP allocatable bit number that is the total number of allocatable bits for multiple un-coded VOPs in a certain period of time based as a time span on allocatable bit number information indicating the total number of allocatable bits for the VOPs in the certain period of time and the number of generated bits for the encoded VOPs in the certain period of time as claimed.

However, Sun teaches an area calculating means for calculating the area of the object in each VOP based on the shape information data (Note the specification of the invention describes that the size of object as area; in figure 1 of Sun suggests VO1 and VO2 within the VOP 1 and VOP2, respectively, wherein the size of VO1 or VO2 is obtained for bit calculation); and means for calculating an un-coded VOP allocatable bit number that is the total number of allocatable bits for multiple un-coded VOPs in multiple frames (fig. 1; Note Sun also suggests the encoder to encode a set of frames based on the total target bits frames) in a certain period of time as a span time based on allocatable bit number information indicating the total number of allocatable bits for the VOPs in the certain period of time and the number of generated bits for the encoded

Art Unit: 2613

VOPs in the certain period of time (col. 8, lines 64-68, e.g. subtracting (difference) the actual number of header bits for all objects (VO1, VO2) in a previous frame is in between as the number of generated bits for the encoded VOPs (VOP1, VOP2); and the total number of target bits available for those objects (VO1, VO2) in an instant frame (un-encoded frame or input video frame) is interpreted as the total number of allocatable bits for multiple uuencoded VOPs (VOP1, VOP2)).

Therefore, taking the teachings of Ryoo and Sun as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teachings of Sun into the encoding system of Ryoo for the same purpose of performing the subtracting function as claimed.

Doing so would provide an improved bit rate control system based on a quadratic rate distortion model.

Re claim 3, Ryoo further teaches wherein a moving picture sequence may include a plurality of objects (figs. 5A and 5B).

Re claim 4, Ryoo further discloses wherein a moving picture sequence may include a plurality of objects (MPEG-M standard, fig. 5A and 5B).

Re claims 7-10, Ryoo further teaches the bit number model parameter is a complexity index per unit area of the picture (col. 5, lines 1-3, note quantization matrix and complexity classifier 21 of fig. 2); and the target bit number calculating means calculates the target bit number based on a product of a predictive value of the complexity index and a predictive value of the area data (col. 10, lines 1-4).

Re claims 11-14, Ryoo further teaches the bit number model parameter calculating means (27 and 29 of fig. 2) calculates the bit number model parameter with respect to each VOP type;

Art Unit: 2613

and the predictive bit number calculating parameter extracting means (28 of fig. 2) calculates the predictive bit number calculating parameter with respect to each VOP type (col. 9, lines 54-67).

Re claims 15-18, and 21 -22, Ryoo further teaches wherein a constant, which can make a variation in quantization fineness among objects, is used when the target bit number is calculated (col. 10, lines 41-50).

Re claims 23 and 24, Ryoo teaches the moving picture encoding system is carrying out the method for encoding moving picture sequences with respect to each object, so the encoding system would obviously have a program for conducting a computer to execute the encoding method.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 11/28/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argued that Ryoo and Sun fail to teach or suggest calculating an uncoded frame allocatable bit number, corresponding to multiple uncoded VOPs/frames in a certain period, pages 18-23.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant. It is submitted that Ryoo teaches the total allocatable bits numbers for multiple uncoded VOPs within multiple frames (figs. 5A and 5B) in a certain period (col. 11, lines 45-col. 12, lines 9); and Sun suggests calculating an un-encoded allocatable bit number corresponding to multiple uncoded VOPs within frames (fig. 1), and also for each VO and VOPs of the frame (T of TABLE 1, Note T is Total texture bit count (all VOs) T[i] Bit count for ith VO including texture, shape, motion and header bits T Total bit

Art Unit: 2613

count including texture, shape, motion and header bits (all VOs), wherein the VOs are within multiple frames). In view of the discussion above, Ryoo and Sun are combinable to make obvious the claimed invention.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kawashiwa et al. (US 6, 819,712) discloses video encoding apparatus and video encoding method.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-7340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Tung Vo', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Tung Vo
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2613